NGSS: Science and Engineering Practices (SEP)



NEXT GENERATION SCIENCE STANDARDS

From the *National Science Framework*

Asking Questions and Defining Problems

Science begins with a question about a phenomenon such as "Why is the sky blue?" or "What causes cancer?" A basic practice of the scientist is the ability to formulate empirically answerable questions about phenomena to establish what is already known, and to determine what questions have yet to be satisfactorily answered.

Engineering begins with a problem that need to be solved, such as "How can we reduce the nation's dependence on fossil fuels?" or "What can be done to reduce a particular disease?" or "How can we improve the fuel efficiency of automobiles?" A basic practice of engineers is to ask questions to clarify the problem, determine criteria for successful solutions, and identify constraints.

Planning and Carrying Out Investigations

Scientific investigations may be conducted in the field or in the laboratory. A major practice of scientists is planning and carrying out systematic investigations that require clarifying what counts as data and in experiments identifying variables.



Engineering practices are conducted to gain data essential for specifying criteria of parameters and to test proposed designs. Like scientists, engineers identify relevant variables, decide how they will be measured, and collect data for analysis. Their investigations help them to identify the effectiveness, efficiency, and durability of designs under different conditions.

Analyzing and Interpreting Data

Scientific investigations produce data that must be analyzed in order to derive meaning. Because data usually do not speak for themselves, scientists use a range of tools -- including tabulation, graphical representation, visualization, and statistical analysis -- to identify the significant features and patterns in data. Sources of error are identified and the degree of certainty calculated. Modern technology makes the collection of large data sets much easier providing secondary sources for analysis.



Engineering investigations include analysis of data collected in the tests of designs. This allows comparison of different solutions and determines how well each meets specific design criteria -- that is, which design best solve the problem within given constraints. Like scientists, the engineers require a range of tools to identify the major patterns and interpret the results. Advances in science make analysis of proposed solutions more efficient and effective.

Developing and Using Models

Science often involves the construction and use of models and simulations to help develop explanations about natural phenomena. Models make it possible to go beyond observables and simulate a world not yet seen. Models enable predictions of the form"if...then...therefore" to be made in order to test hypothetical explanations.



Engineering makes use of models and simulations to analyze extant systems to identify flaws that might occur, or to test possible solutions to a new problem. Engineers design and use models of various sorts to test proposed systems and to recognize the strengths and limitations of their designs.

Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions

The goal of **science** is the construction of theories that provide explanatory accounts of the material world. A theory becomes accepted when it has multiple independent lines of empirical evidence, greater explanatory power a breadth of phenomena it accounts for, and has explanatory coherence and agreement.



The goal of **engineering design** is a systematic solution to problems that is based on scientific knowledge and models of the material world. Each proposed solution results from a process of balancing competing criteria of desired functions, technical feasibility, cost, safety, aesthetics, and compliance with legal requirements. Usually there is no one best solution, but rather a range of solutions. They optimal choice depends on how well the proposed solution meets criteria and constraints.

Engaging in Argument from Evidence

In **science**, reasoning and argument are essential for clarifying strengths and weaknesses of a line of evidence and for identifying the best explanation for a natural phenomenon. Scientists must defend their explanations, formulate evidence based on a solid foundation of data examine their understanding in light of the evidence and comments by other, and collaborate with peers in searching for the best explanation for the phenomena being investigated.

In **engineering**, reasoning and argument are essential for finding the best solution to a problem. Engineers collaborate with their peers throughout the design process, with a critical stage being the selection of the most promising solution among a field of competing ideas. Engineers use systematic methods to compare alternatives, formulate solutions based on test data, make arguments to defend their conclusions, critically evaluate the designs of others, and revise designs in order to identify the best solution.

Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking

In **science**, mathematics and computation are fundamental tools for representing physical variables and their relationships. They are used for a range of tasks such as constructing simulations; statistically analyzing data; and recognizing, expressing, and applying quantitative relationships. Mathematical and computational approaches enable predication of the behavior of physical systems along with the testing of such predication. Moreover, statistical techniques are also invaluable for identifying significant patterns and establishing correlational relationships.

In **engineering**, mathematical and computational representations of established relationships and principles are an integral part of the design process. For example, structural engineers create mathematical-based analysis of designs to calculate whether they can stand up to expected stresses of use and if they can be completed within acceptable budgets. Moreover, simulations provide an effective test bed for the development of designs as proposed solutions to problems and their improvements, if required.

Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information



Science cannot advance if scientists are unable to communicate their findings clearly and listeners are unable to learn about the findings of others. A major practice of science is thus to communicate ideas and the results of inquiry -- orally; in writing; with the use of tables, diagrams, graphs and equations; and by engaging in extended discussions with peers. Science requires the ability to derive meaning from scientific texts such as papers, the internet, symposia, or lectures; to evaluate the scientific validity of the information thus acquired; and to integrate that information into proposed explanations.

Engineering cannot produce new or improved technologies if the advantages of their designs are not communicated clearly and persuasively. Engineers need to be able to express their ideas orally and in writing; with the use of graphs, drawings or models; and by engaging in extended discussions with peers. Moreover, as with scientists, they need to be able to derive meaning from colleagues' texts, evaluate information, and apply it usefully.